A correlation exists in the nitrogen- and sulfur-bridged iron carbonyls between the Fe–Fe and mean Fe–X (X = N, S) bond lengths, with a longer Fe–Fe bond associated with a shorter Fe–X bond. This relationship has previously been pointed out³² for the sulfuroridged compounds and is more clearly illustrated in the nitrogen-bridged series because of the larger bond length differences involved.

The first question which must be asked in any attempt to understand the basis of the long Fe–Fe and short Fe–N bonds in $[CH_3NFe(CO)_3]_2$ is whether these distances are simply a stereochemical consequence of the presence of the bond linking the two bridging nitrogen

(32) H. P. Weber and R. F. Bryan, J. Chem. Soc., A, 182 (1967).

atoms or whether they stem from some other property of the bridging group. One possible approach to resolving this question is through structural analyses of other compounds having the same basic bridging configuration but different bridging ligands. Such studies are now in progress, and further discussion of this point will be reserved until their completion.

Acknowledgments.—This work was supported by the National Science Foundation and by the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society. Computation was supported in part by a National Science Foundation institutional grant to the University of California, Irvine, Calif.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201

The Structure of Chlorodicarbonylbis(triphenylphosphine)iridium(I)–Benzene, IrCl(CO)₂(P(C₆H₅)₃)₂·C₆H₆

BY NICHOLAS C. PAYNE AND JAMES A. IBERS

Received June 20, 1969

The structure of chlorodicarbonylbis(triphenylphosphine)iridium(I)-benzene, $IrCl(CO)_2(P(C_6H_3)_3)_2 \cdot C_6H_6$, has been determined from three-dimensional X-ray data collected by counter methods. The compound crystallizes with four formula units in space group C_{2n}^5 - $P2_1/c$ of the monoclinic system in a cell of dimensions a = 18.019 (4) Å, b = 12.240 (4) Å, c = 18.237 (6) Å, and $\beta = 102.51$ (1)°. The measured density of 1.51 (1) g/cm³ agrees well with the calculated value of 1.50 g/cm³. The benzene molecule is well separated from the iridium atom. The coordination about iridium is that of a trigonal bipyramid, with *trans* phosphines at the apices. Partial disorder among the chlorine atom and carbonyl groups in the equatorial plane precludes a precise determination of all features of the molecular structure. Nevertheless, the fact that the coordination about iridium in this complex is very different from that in the isoelectronic $IrCl(CO)(NO)(P(C_6H_5)_3)_2$ + cation has been established. The conventional *R* factor for the 1657 independent reflections above background is 0.093.

Introduction

We recently reported the preparation¹ and molecular structures^{2,3} of the compounds $[IrX(CO)(NO)(P(C_{6}-H_{5})_{3})_{2}][BF_{4}]$, where X = Cl and I. Both compounds were prepared by reaction of NOBF₄ with the parent d⁸ complexes $IrX(CO)(P(C_{6}H_{5})_{3})_{2}$. In these compounds the coordination about iridium is that of a tetragonal pyramid, with *trans* phosphines, the halogen, and the carbonyl in the basal plane, and the nitrosyl group at the apex. The Ir-N-O linkage is bent, with an Ir-N-O angle of approximately 125°. These structure determinations represented the first well-authenticated cases of such a bent nitrosyl linkage in transition metal compounds.

As CO is isoelectronic with NO⁺, we thought it would be of interest to examine the structure of the compound formed by addition of CO to the parent molecule. The results of such an examination are reported here.

Experimental Section

 $\label{eq:preparation} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Preparation of the Crystal.} \\ \mbox{-Vaska4 has reported the preparation and characterization of the complex } IrCl(CO)_2(P(C_6H_5)_8)_2 \end{array}$

and on the basis of infrared evidence (ν_{CO} 1923, 1976 cm^{-t}) deduced a trigonal-bipyramidal structure, with *trans*-triphenyl-phosphine groups. The observed bands were assigned to the normal modes of vibration expected for a planar IrCl(CO)₂ fragment, with C_{2v} symmetry, and equivalent CO ligands.

Crystals suitable for data collection were prepared by an adaptation of Vaska's method. A deoxygenated, saturated solution of chlorocarbonylbis(triphenylphosphine)iridium(I) in benzene was prepared under dry nitrogen gas. Into the refluxing solution a stream of carbon monoxide was passed for 10 min; then the solution was allowed to cool under an atmosphere of carbon monoxide. Pale yellow crystals were formed, a Nujol mull of which showed absorption bands attributable to $\nu_{\rm CO}$ at 1925 and 1975 cm⁻¹. Elemental analysis suggested the presence of a benzene molecule of crystallization. *Anal.*⁵ Calcd for IrC₄₄-H₃₆O₂P₂Cl (IrCl(CO)₂(P(C₆H₅)₃)₂·C₆H₅): C, 59.62; H, 4.09. Found: C, 59.66; H, 3.98.

Collection and Reduction of the X-Ray Intensity Data.—An optical and X-ray analysis of the crystals showed monoclinic symmetry, Laue group 2/m. The systematic absences observed on Weissenberg photographs of the layers h0l through h2l and on precession photographs of the layers 0kl, 1kl, hk0, and hk1 are h0l for l odd and 0k0 for k odd. These absences are consistent with space group C_{2h}^{b} -P21/c. The unit cell dimensions, as established from a least-squares refinement of the setting angles of 13

⁽¹⁾ D. J. Hodgson, N. C. Payne, J. A. McGinnety, R. G. Pearson, and J. A. Ibers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **90**, 4486 (1968).

⁽²⁾ D. J. Hodgson and J. A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 7, 2345 (1968).

⁽³⁾ D. J. Hodgson and J. A. Ibers, ibid., 8, 1282 (1969).

⁽⁴⁾ L. Vaska, Science, 152, 769 (1966).

⁽⁵⁾ Analyses were performed by Miss Hilda Beck of this department.

high-angle reflections,⁶ are a = 18.019 (4) Å, b = 12.240 (4) Å, c = 18.237 (6) Å, and $\beta = 102.51$ (1)° (Cu K α_1 radiation, λ 1.5406 Å, at 22°). A density of 1.51 (1) g/cm³, measured by flotation in a mixture of heptane and 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, agrees well with the value of 1.50 g/cm³ calculated for four formula units in the cell. Thus no crystallographic symmetry conditions need be imposed on the molecule.

Data were collected on a Picker four-circle automatic diffractometer by methods previously described.6 Details are: prismatic crystal, with well-developed prismatic faces of the form $\{011\}$ and pinacoidal faces of the forms $\{100\}$ and $\{001\}$. Approximate crystal dimensions are 0.61 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 mm. The crystal was mounted in a capillary under nitrogen and oriented with its long dimension (010) approximately along the spindle axis. From the mosaicity check the average width at half-height was 0.15° . Data collection was by θ -2 θ scan; the takeoff angle was 2.0°. Cu Kā radiation was filtered after diffraction through 0.5 mil of Ni. The counter aperture 4 mm \times 4 mm was positioned 34 cm from the crystal. The scan range was -0.75 to $+1.0^{\circ}$ in 2θ from the calculated 2θ position, the scan rate was 1 deg/min, and the background counts were 10 sec at each end of the scan range. Attenuators (Cu foil) were inserted automatically on intense peaks. The pulse height analyzer accepted a 90% window.

A total of 1696 reflections were examined within the sphere $\theta(\operatorname{Cu} K\alpha_1) \leq 35^\circ$. No further data were collected since significant crystal decomposition had occurred, as ascertained from the significant and variable decrease in intensity of four standard reflections. That this decrease was not the result of crystal movement was ascertained by periodic redeterminations of the crystal orientation matrix. Of the 1696 reflections measured, 1657 had $I > 2\sigma(I)$ and these were used in subsequent calculations.

The data were processed as previously described.⁶ In the determination of $\sigma(I)$ a value of p of 0.04 was used. An absorption correction was applied;⁷ transmission factors varied between 0.23 and 0.33, based on a linear absorption coefficient of 89.0 cm⁻¹.

Solution and Refinement of the Structure.—Initially the data were brought approximately to a common scale through the use of the average dropoff of intensity of the standard reflections. This was necessarily approximate, since the decrease in the standards ranged from 12.5 to 79%.

The structure was solved by normal heavy-atom techniques. A trial structure, including only the Ir and the two P atoms, led to values of R_1 and R_2 of 0.41 and 0.49, where $R_1 =$ $\Sigma ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \Sigma |F_{o}|$ and $R_{2} = (\Sigma w (|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)^{2} / \Sigma w F_{o}^{2})^{1/2}$ and where $|F_o|$ and $|F_o|$ are the observed and calculated structure amplitudes with the weights w taken as $4F_0^2/\sigma^2(F_0^2)$. The function minimized was $\Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$. Atomic scattering factors used are from the following sources: Ir, ref 8; other heavy atoms, ref 9; H, ref 10. Values of $\Delta f'$ and $\Delta f''$ for Ir, P, and Cl¹¹ were included in the calculated structure factors.¹² In subsequent refinements the phenyl rings and the benzene molecule were included as groups¹³ (C-C = 1.392 Å, D_{6h} symmetry); the hydrogen atoms of these rings were included as fixed contributions to $|F_c|$ (C-H = 0.9 Å, B = 5.0 Å²). In the refinements, the carbon atoms of the phenyl rings were allowed to vibrate with individual thermal parameters, while the atoms in the

(9) "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. III, The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1962.



Figure 1.—Difference Fourier synthesis in the equatorial plane, showing the electron density of the Cl and CO ligands. Contours are drawn at intervals of 0.5 $e^{-}/Å^{3}$, from 1.0 to 5.0 $e^{-}/Å^{3}$. Final positions of the atoms in the equatorial plane are shown. The disordered atoms are not shown.

benzene molecule of crystallization were constrained to an overall group thermal parameter.

With only the Ir and the two P atoms allowed to vibrate anisotropically and all other atoms included and allowed to vibrate isotropically, the refinement converged to values of R_1 and R_2 of 0.15 and 0.19, respectively.

At this stage the scaling of the data was included in the leastsquares refinement. In essence it was assumed that the scale factor k for reflection n could be written as $k = k_0 + a_1 t_n + a_2 t_n^2$, where t_n is the cumulative X-ray exposure of the crystal at the time the nth reflection was observed, and k_0 , a_1 , and a_2 were refined as variables. This method is described in greater detail elsewhere.¹⁴ In applying this scaling procedure, the data were first reprocessed to remove the original decomposition correction. A subsequent refinement of the same model, with the inclusion of this scaling procedure, reduced the values of R_1 and R_2 to 0.089 and 0.095.

The final model showed clear evidence of disorder in the equatorial plane. The C–O bond lengths in the carbonyl groups were very short (0.9 Å) compared with values of 1.1–1.2 Å observed in analogous compounds. We have found this to be symptomatic of X/CO disorder in previous structures^{15,18} so a difference Fourier synthesis was computed in the equatorial plane from a set of structure factors calculated omitting the contributions of the Cl and the two CO ligands. This synthesis is shown in Figure 1, and the lack of resolution of the C and O atoms is apparent.

A series of calculations was then made, in which the disorder was assumed to result in fractional chlorine atoms at the three equatorial sites Cl(1), Cl(2), and Cl(3) and fractional carbonyl ligands at the same sites, C(3) and O(3), C(1) and O(1), and C(2)and O(2), respectively. The necessary constraints among the derivatives were taken into account, and the multiplicity parameters of Cl(1) and C(1) were refined as variables in the final model, with the total ligand multiplicity at each site being constrained to 1.0. All disordered atoms had fixed positional and thermal parameters, which corresponded to mean Ir-Cl bond lengths of 2.41 Å, Ir-C lengths of 2.00 Å, and C-O lengths of 1.12 Å, with a linear Ir-C-O geometry. This model converged at $R_1 = R_2 =$ 0.093, with a 0.67:0.14:0.19 disorder of the chlorine atom among the three sites (see Table II).

⁽⁶⁾ P. W. R. Corfield, R. J. Doedens, and J. A. Ibers, *Inorg. Chem.*, 6, 197 (1967).

⁽⁷⁾ Programs used in this work include, in addition to various local programs for the CDC 6400, local modifications of W. C. Hamilton's GONO absorption correction program, Zalkin's FORDAF Fourier program, the Busing-Levy ORFFE error function program, and Johnson's ORTEP thermal ellipsoid plotting program.

⁽⁸⁾ D. T. Cromer and J. T. Waber, Acta Cryst., 18, 104 (1965).

⁽¹⁰⁾ R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, and W. J. Simpson, J. Chem. Phys., 42, 3175 (1965).

⁽¹¹⁾ D. T. Cromer, Acta Cryst., 18, 17 (1965).

⁽¹²⁾ J. A. Ibers and W. C. Hamilton, ibid., 17, 781 (1964).

⁽¹³⁾ R. Eisenberg and J. A. Ibers, Inorg. Chem., 4, 773 (1965).

⁽¹⁴⁾ J. A. Ibers, Acta Cryst., 25B, 1421 (1969).

⁽¹⁵⁾ S. J. La Placa and J. A. Ibers, Science, 145, 920 (1964); J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 2581 (1965).

⁽¹⁶⁾ J. A. McGinnety, R. J. Doedens, and J. A. Ibers, *Science*, **155**, 709 (1967); *Inorg. Chem.*, **6**, 2243 (1967).

1 - 10 22 45 (- 9 25 6 - 10 57 45 3 2 45 7 1 1 - 10 - 11 5 7 5 1 4 5 7 14 4 5 7 12 7 13 7 13 4 13 5 7 13 4 13 7 13 1 13 7 13 1 13 7 13 1 13 7 13 1 13 7 13 1 13 1 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	1 - 5 126 110 8 - 7 67 102 8 6 53 53 12 - 7 13 5 7 - 10 24 14 6 - 11 102 106 1 5 6 7 0 5 18 14 1 - 2 62 73 0 1 99 8

TABLE I

We feel that the decomposition of the crystal has resulted in data which are not sufficiently accurate to warrant a more detailed description of the disordered atoms in the equatorial plane. It is, however, clear from the refinements of the disordered model and the difference Fourier map of the equatorial plane that the two carbonyl ligands are bonded in the usual linear fashion. A statistical analysis of $|F_c|$ vs. $|F_c|$ showed no unusual trends. A total difference Fourier synthesis showed considerable residual density, 20 peaks being greater than $0.62 \text{ e}^-/\text{Å}^3$. Of these, five were associated with the solvent benzene molecule, which had a large group temperature factor. The remainder were in the region of the equatorial plane and probably resulted from

		А	tomic Posi	tional and I	Thermal Para	umeters			
Atom	x	y	z	$U(1,1)^{a}$	U(2,2)	U(3,3)	U(1,2)	U(1,3)	U(2,3)
Ir	0.20836 (5) ^b	0.05640(9) - 0	.00149 (6)	0.057(1)	0.045(1)	0.055(2)	0.003(1)	0.016(1)	0.001(1)
P_1	0.1784(4)	0.2614(6) 0	.4650(4)	0.056(5)	0.042(6)	0.055(6)	-0.003(5)	0.003(5)	-0.006(5)
\mathbf{P}_2	-0.2402(3)	0.3745(6) 0	.4744 (4)	0.040(5)	0.036(5)	0.051(5)	0.010(5)	0.009(5)	0.001(2)
				Constrained	Atoms				
Aton	1	x	У		z		<i>B</i> , Å ²	Multi	lplicity
Cl1	0	.1839	0.0084	Ł	-0.1304	4	9.0	0.68	3(2)
Cl_2	0	.1033	0.0419)	0.0649	9	9.0	0.14	1 (2)
Cl ₃	0	.3324	0.1169)	0.0630	C	9.0	0.18	3(2)
C_1	0	.1215	0.0444	Ł	0.0534	1	7.5	0.86	$\mathbf{B}(2)$
O_1	0	.0727	0.0376	3	0.0843	1	8.5	0.86	$\mathbf{B}(2)$
C_2	0	.3108	0.1063	3	0.0518	8	7.5	0.82	2(2)
O_2	0	.3685	0.1345	5	0.0817	7	8.5	0.82	2(2)
C3	0	.1882	0.0167	7	-0.108	1	7.5	0.32	2(2)
O_3	0	.1767	-0.0056	3	0,1680	0	8.5	0.32	2(2)
				Group Para	meters				
Group	xo ^c	Уe		z_{0}		ð	6		η
PH-1	0.3169(7)	0.3627(11) —	0.0865(7)	-0.0	073 (17)	-2.355(13)	-0.	804(17)
PH-2	0.0363(7)	0.2755(9)		0.1661(7)	-0.6	329(11)	2.525(9)	-2.	428 (11)
PH-3	0,1401 (6)	0,3868(9)		0.0962(6)	0.6	361(11)	2.523(11)	1.	360 (11)
PH-4	0.1235(7)	-0.2935(10) —	0.0754(6)	-2.1	190 (9)	2.804(8)	-0.	490 (9)
PH-5	0.2508(5)	-0.2072(11)	0.1953(6)	2.8	880 (8)	2.923(12)	1.	765(8)
PH-6	0.4075(8)	-0.1878(12)	0.0050(8)	-2.9	964(20)	-2.314(13)	-2.	590 (18)
PH-7	0.4549(15)	0.4401(20)	0.2205(13)	-1.4	185(53)	-2.564(17)	2.	864 (32)

TABLE II

^a The form of the thermal ellipsoid is $\exp[-(\beta_{11}k^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}l^2 + 2\beta_{12}hk + 2\beta_{13}hl + 2\beta_{23}kl)]$, and $U_{ij} = \beta_{ij}/(2\pi^2 a_i^* \cdot a_j^*)$ (Å). ^b Numbers in parentheses given here and in other tables are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits. ^c x_e , y_e , and z_e are the fractional coordinates of the ring center; δ , ϵ , and η (in radians) have been defined in ref 13.

the thermal and geometrical constraints imposed upon the atoms. No peak was so positioned as to suggest a nonlinear carbonyl group. An inspection of the intense low-order reflections showed that extinction could be neglected.

In Table I the values of $|F_o|$ and $|F_c|$ (in electrons) are given. The final nongroup atom positional, thermal, and multiplicity parameters are listed in Table II along with the group parameters. In Table III the group atom positional and thermal parameters are given.

Description of the Structure

The extensive anisotropic crystal decomposition and the disorder in the equatorial plane preclude the determination of accurate intramolecular distances. A selection of meaningful distances and angles is given in Table IV; those without estimated standard deviations were constrained in the refinement.

The over-all molecular geometry is trigonal bipyramidal, with *trans*-triphenylphosphine groups, as shown in Figure 2. The complex and the solvent molecule are discrete, the non-bonding intermolecular distances (including those of the hydrogen atoms) being greater than 2.48 Å.

The Ir–P distances of 2.341 (7) and 2.322 (7) Å are normal for compounds of this type. In the analogous molecular oxygen complex of IrCl(CO)(P(C₆-H₅)₈)₂, which also has a *trans* arrangement of triphenyl-phosphine ligands, Ir–P distances of 2.38 (1) and 2.36 (1) Å were found.¹⁵ In a preliminary report of the structure of the related complex Ir(H)(CO)₂(P(C₆-H₅)₈)₂, in which the P atoms are *cis*, distances of 2.372 (2) Å were reported.¹⁷ The geometry of



Figure 2.—Over-all view of the molecule. The vibrational ellipsoids of the Ir and P atoms are shown at the 50% probability level. The ellipsoids for all other atoms have been reduced arbitrarily for the sake of clarity.

the triphenylphosphine ligands in the present compound is also normal, the mean of the P-RC(1) dis-

⁽¹⁷⁾ M. Ciechanowicz, A. C. Skapski, and P. G. H. Troughton, "Collected Abstracts, Eighth International Congress of Crystallography," 1969, Paper XIV-44.

	DERIVE	d Group Atom	Parameters	
Atom	x	У	2	B , Λ^2
		Ring 1		
C_1^a	$0.2592 (13)^b$	0.3106 (22)	-0.0601(12)	3.9(6)
C_2	0.2916(10)	0.4062(19)	-0.0258(9)	8.2 (8)
C8	0.3493(15)	0.4583(15)	-0.0521(13)	8.7 (9)
C4	0.3746(13)	0.4148(22)	-0.1129(12)	6.9(7)
Cs	0.3422 (10)	0.3192(19)	-0.1473 (8)	7,3(7)
Ce	0.2845 (14)	0.2671(16)	-0.1209(11)	6.5(7)
		Ring 2		
C1	0.1023(8)	0.2597(17)	-0.1112(8)	3.7(5)
C ₂	0.1022(8)	0.3328(14)	-0.1698(10)	6.4(6)
Ca	0.0362(9)	0.3485(11)	-0.2247(9)	4.2(6)
C4	-0.0297(8)	0.2913(17)	-0.2210(9)	6.9(6)
C۵	-0.0296 (9)	0.2182(15)	-0,1624 (10)	7.1(6)
C6	0.0364 (10)	0.2024(12)	-0.1075 (9)	6.2(6)
		Ring 3		
C1	0 1545 (11)	0.3211(13)	0.0386 (9)	3 9 (6)
C.	0.1130(8)	0.4175(13)	0.0217(7)	32(5)
C3	0.0986(10)	0.4831(11)	0.0793(10)	4.0(6)
C4	0.1256(11)	0,4524(14)	0.1538 (8)	5.1(6)
Cá	0.1671(9)	0,3561 (15)	0,1707(7)	8.0(7)
Ce	0.1816 (10)	0.2904 (12)	0.1131 (11)	5.0(6)
		Ring 4		
C.	0 1740 (8)	-0.2210(13)	00307 (8)	3 2 (6)
C	0.2015(7)	-0.3118(14)	-0.0637(9)	3, 2(0)
C.	0.1509 (9)	-0.3833(11)	0.1084(9)	4.2(5)
C.	0.0729(9)	-0.3650(13)	-0.1201(9)	6 4 (6)
C.	0.0455(7)	-0.2752(15)	-0.0872(9)	5.3(6)
Č6	0,0960 (9)	-0.2036(11)	-0.0425(9)	3, 9(5)
		Ring 5		
C.	0.2404 (8)	_0_1781 (16)	0 1201 (6)	2 8 (6)
Ci Ci	0.2404(3) 0.2283(7)	-0.3781(10) -0.2858(13)	0.1201(0) 0.1306(0)	5.8(0) 4.5(6)
C,	0.2287 (8)	-0.3149(12)	0.2149(11)	6 3 (6)
C.	0.2612(9)	-0.2363(18)	0.2706 (6)	6 7 (6)
C ₆	0.2733 (8)	-0.1287(15)	0.2510(9)	7.6(7)
Č6	0.2630 (8)	-0.0995(11)	:0.1758 (11)	6.5(6)
		Ring 6	• •	
0	0.3358 (10)	-0.1617(27)	0 0167 (11)	5 1 (6)
Ci Ci	0.3798 (10)	-0.2406(20)	0.0107 (11)	7 1 (6)
C_{1}	0,0700(10) 0,4515(13)	-0.2667(21)	0.0496(13)	9.0(8)
C.	0.4791(11)	-0.2140(30)	-0.0067(14)	10.2(10)
Cs	0,4351(12)	-0.1351(21)	-0.0513(11)	11.9 (9)
Č6	0.3634(14)	-0.1090(19)	-0.0396(12)	7.6(7)
•••		Ring 7		
C.	0 4732 (45)	0 3394 (97)	0.2060 (22)	1
	0.5213(24)	0.3934(56)	0.2621 (10)	
C,	0.5029(23)	0.5010(43)	0.2757(17)	
Č:	0.4365(44)	0.5478(27)	0.2341(22)	$ angle 18.4~(6)^{c}$
Č.	0.3885(24)	0.4868(54)	0.1788(18)	1
Če	0.4068(29)	0.3792(42)	0.1652(18)	

TABLE III FRIVED GROUP ATOM PARAMETERS

^{*a*} Ring atoms are numbered sequentially, with C_1 attached to P. ^{*b*} The estimated standard deviations are derived from those of the group parameters; intra-ring distances are fixed at C-C = 1.392 Å. ^{*a*} The benzene molecule of crystallization was allotted an over-all group temperature factor. 101(1)

TABLE IV BOND DISTANCES AND BOND ANGLES Atoms Dist, Å Atoms Angles, deg 2.341(7)Ir-P₁ P_1-Ir-P_2 176.8(2) $Ir-P_2$ 2.322(7) $P_1-Ir-Cl_1$ 89.6 $Ir-Cl_1$ 2.37^{a} P_1 –Ir– C_1 92.2 $Ir - C_1$ 2.04 P_1 –Ir– C_2 88.4 $C_1 - O_1$ 1.14 $P_2 - Ir - Cl_1$ 87.6 P_2-Ir-C_1 90.4 $P_1 - R_1 C_1$ 1.84(2) P_2 -Ir- C_2 91.7 $P_1 - R_2 C_1$ 1.75(2) $P_1 - R_8 C_1$ 1.81(2)Ir-C-O 180.0 $P_2 - R_4 C_1$ 1.83(2)118.2 $P_2 - R_5 C_1$ 1.84(2) Cl_1 -Ir- C_1 Cl_1-Ir-C_2 $P_2 - R_6 C_1$ 1.82(3)120.9 C_1-Ir-C_2 120.9 $R_1C_1 - P_1 - R_2C_1$ 105(1) $R_1C_1 - P_1 - R_3C_1$ 104(1)104(1) $R_2C_1 - P_1 - R_3C_1$ $R_4C_1 - P_2 - R_5C_1$ 100(1) $R_4C_1 - P_2 - R_6C_1$ 108(1)

^a Since the positions of the Cl atom and of the C and O atoms of the carbonyl groups were derived from the electron density map and were not refined, no error estimates are given for bond distances or angles involving these atoms.

 $R_5C_1 - P_2 - R_6C_1$

tances is 1.814 (14) Å, and the mean of the C(1)-P-C(1) angles is 104 (1)°.

We find the geometry of the inner coordination sphere to be that deduced from the infrared measurements. In spite of the crystal decomposition and the disorder in the equatorial plane, it is clearly evident that both carbonyl ligands are coordinated in a linear fashion. Hence we conclude, as might be expected, that whereas the amphoteric nature of the NO⁺ ligand permits it to act as either a Lewis acid or a Lewis base, carbon monoxide coordinates preferentially as a Lewis base, with sp hybridization at the carbon atom and extensive multiple bonding between the metal and the ligand.

Acknowledgment.—We thank the National Science Foundation for financial support of this work.